

Problem Set 4

Linear Programming Relaxations and Rounding

Approximation Algorithms (MA5517)

Dr. Jannik Matuschke | M.Sc. Marcus Kaiser

This problem set will be discussed in the tutorials on November 20th/21st, 2018.

Problem 4.1 (Weighted Sum of Completion Times with Precedence Constraints)

We consider a scheduling problem on a single machine. There are n jobs with processing times $p_j \ge 0$ and non-negative weights $w_j \ge 0$ for all $j \in [n]$. In addition, we are given a partial order \preceq on the jobs. For a schedule, which is an ordering of the jobs, the completion time $C_j \ge 0$ of job $j \in [n]$ is the point in time when j is completed. The goal is to find a total ordering of the jobs that extends \preceq and minimizes the weighted sum of completion time $\sum_{j \in [n]} w_j C_j$.

- i) Smith's rule states to process the jobs in non-increasing order w.r.t. the ratio of their weight to their processing time. Prove that Smith's rule gives an optimal schedule for the problem without precedence constraints.
- ii) Show that the following linear program is a relaxation of the problem with precedence constraints.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{j \in [n]} w_j C_j \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{j \in J} p_j C_j \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in J} p_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Big(\sum_{j \in J} p_j \Big)^2 & \text{ for all } J \subseteq [n] \\ & C_j - C_i \geq p_j & \text{ for all } i, j \in [n] : i \prec j \\ & C \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \end{array}$$

Hint: Use (i).

- iii) Let $C^* \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ be an optimal solution to the LP-relaxation. Consider the schedule defined by ordering the jobs in non-decreasing order w.r.t. C_i^* . Prove that this schedule is a 2-approximation.
- iv)* Deduce a 2-approximation algorithm for minimizing the weighted sum of completion times for scheduling on a single machine with precedence constraints. You may use that submodular^a functions can be minimized in polynomial time.

Problem 4.2 (Pipage Rounding)

In the MAXIMUMCUT problem, we are given as input an undirected graph G = (V, E) with non-negative weights $w_e \ge 0$ for all $e \in E$. The goal is to find a set of vertices W so as to maximize the weight of the edges that leave W. We consider a variant of the problem in which the size of W has to agree with an integer $k \le |V|/2$ that is part of the input.

i) Show that the variant of MAXIMUMCUT is modeled by the following integer program with non-linear objective function based on $f: [0,1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}, (y,z) \mapsto y + z - 2yz$.

$$\max \sum_{\substack{\{u,v\}\in E\\ \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\top}x = k\\ x \in \{0,1\}^{V}} w_{\{u,v\}}f(x_u, x_v)$$

^aFor a finite set E, a function $f: 2^E \to \mathbb{R}$ is called submodular if $f(A \cap B) + f(A \cup B) \leq f(A) + f(B)$ for all $A, B \subseteq E$.

ii) Show that the following program with objective function based on $g: [0,1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $(y,z) \mapsto \min(y+z,2-y-z)$ represents a relaxation of the above. Further, prove that $f(y,z) \ge \frac{1}{2}g(y,z)$ holds for any $y,z \in [0,1]$.

$$\max \sum_{\substack{\{u,v\}\in E\\ \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{1}^{\top}x = k\\ x \in [0,1]^V}} w_{\{u,v\}}g(x_u, x_v)$$

- iii) Let x be a solution to the relaxation. Argue that, for two fractional elements in x, it is possible to increase one by $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and decrease the other by ε such that $\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E} w_{\{u,v\}}f(x_u, x_v)$ does not decrease and one of the two variables becomes integer.
- iv) Use the arguments above to devise a 1/2-approximation algorithm for the given variant of MAXIMUMCUT problem.

Problem 4.3 (Maximum Directed Cut Problem)

In the MAXIMUMDIRECTEDCUT problem, we are given as input a directed graph G = (V, A). Each arc $a \in A$ has non-negative weight $w_a \ge 0$. The goal is to partition V into two sets W and $V \setminus W$ so as to maximize the total weight of the arcs going from W to $V \setminus W$.

i) Show that the following integer program models the maximum directed cut problem and linearize it, i.e., find an equivalent (mixed-)integer linear program.

$$\max \sum_{\substack{(u,v)\in A}} w_{(u,v)} \min(x_u, 1 - x_v)$$

s.t. $x \in \{0, 1\}^V$

ii) Consider a randomized rounding algorithm for MAXIMUMDIRECTEDCUT that solves a linear programming relaxation of the mixed-integer program and puts vertex $v \in V$ in W with probability $1/4 + x_v/2$. Show that this gives a randomized 1/2-approximation algorithm for MAXIMUMDIRECTEDCUT.