

# Prize-collecting Steiner Tree

Solving the LP: Given  $(x, y)$  compute a min  $v$ - $r$ -cut  $S_v$  w.r.t.

to capacities  $x$  for every  $v \in V$ . If  $\sum_{e \in \delta(S_v)} x(e) < y(v)$  for some  $v$ , we have found a violated inequality. Otherwise,  $(x, y)$  is a feasible solution. We can thus solve the separation problem for the LP and optimize (see Section 4.3 in book).

## Analysis of Algorithm D

Proof of Claim 1: Note that  $\frac{1}{\alpha} x^*$  is a feasible solution to

$$Z^*(U) := \min \sum_{e \in E} d(e) x(e) \quad (\text{LP relaxation for STEINER TREE})$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{e \in \delta(S)} x(e) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} y^*(v) \quad \forall S \subseteq V, v \in S \cap U$$

$$x(e) \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E$$

$$T \text{ is an MST of } G[U \cup \{r\}] \implies d(T) \leq 2 Z^*(U) \quad (\text{Problem Set 4})$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{e \in E} d(e) x^*(e) \quad \square$$

Proof of Claim 2:  $(1-\alpha) \cdot \sum_{v \in V \setminus U} \pi(v) \leq \sum_{v \in V \setminus U} (1 - y^*(v)) \pi(v) \leq \sum_{v \in V} (1 - y^*(v)) \pi(v) \quad \square$

$y^*(v) < \alpha \quad \forall v \in V \setminus U$

$$\text{Claim 1 \& 2: } d(T) + \pi(V \setminus U) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \right\} \cdot Z^* \leq 3 \cdot \text{OPT}$$

$\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$

## Analysis of Algorithm R

Claim 1:  $E[d(T)] \leq \frac{-2 \ln \delta}{1-\delta} \sum_{e \in E} d(e) x^*(e)$

Proof:  $E[d(T)] \leq E \left[ \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{e \in E} d(e) x^*(e) \right] = E \left[ \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] \sum_{e \in E} d(e) x^*(e)$

$$E \left[ \frac{2}{\alpha} \right] = \int_{\alpha=\delta}^1 \frac{2}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\delta} dt = \left[ \ln t \right]_{\alpha=\delta}^1 \cdot \frac{2}{1-\delta} = \frac{-2 \ln \delta}{1-\delta} \quad \square$$

Claim 2:  $E[\pi(V \setminus U)] \leq \frac{1}{1-\delta} \sum_{v \in V} (1 - y^*(v)) \pi(v)$

Proof:  $E \left[ \sum_{v \in V \setminus U} \pi(v) \right] = \sum_{v \in V} (1 - \text{Pr}[v \in U]) \cdot \pi(v) = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1 - y^*(v)}{1-\delta} \pi(v) \quad \square$

$$\text{Claim 1 \& 2} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[d(T) + \pi(V|U)] \leq \max\left\{\frac{-2 \ln \delta}{1-\delta}, \frac{1}{1-\delta}\right\} \cdot Z^*$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-\frac{1}{2})} \cdot Z^* \quad \square$$

## Uncapacitated Facility Location

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{i \in F} \sum_{j \in C} d_{ij} x_{ij} + \sum_{i \in F} f_i y_i \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i \in F} x_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall j \in C \\ & y_i - x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in F, j \in C \\ & x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in F, j \in C \\ & y_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in F \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \max & \sum_{j \in C} v_j \\ \text{s.t.} & v_j - w_{ij} \leq d_{ij} \quad \forall i \in F, j \in C \\ & \sum_{j \in C} w_{ij} \leq f_i \quad \forall i \in F \\ & w_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in F, j \in C \end{array}$$

opt solutions  $(x^*, y^*)$  and  $(v^*, w^*)$

Lemma 6.5 If  $i \in N_j$  then  $d_{ij} \leq v_j^*$

Proof:  $i \in N_j \Rightarrow x_{ij}^* > 0 \Rightarrow \underset{\text{compl. slackness}}{v_j^* - w_{ij}^*} = d_{ij} \Rightarrow \underset{w_{ij}^* \geq 0}{v_j^*} \geq d_{ij} \quad \square$

Lemma 6.6 If  $i \in N_j$  with  $f_i = \min_{i \in N_j} f_i$  then  $f_i \leq \sum_{i \in N_j} f_i y_i^*$

Proof:  $\sum_{i \in N_j} f_i y_i^* \geq \sum_{i \in N_j} f_i x_{ij}^* \geq \sum_{i \in N_j} f_i x_{ij}^* \geq f_i \cdot \sum_{i \in F} x_{ij}^* = f_i \cdot 1 = f_i \quad \square$

## Analysis of Algorithm D

Let  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  be the clients selected by the algorithm and  $\underbrace{i_1, \dots, i_n}_S$  be the corresponding facilities. Then:

$$\sum_{i \in S} f_i = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{i_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i \in N_{j_k}} f_i y_i^* \leq \sum_{i \in F} f_i y_i^*$$

Lem. 6.6  $N_{j_k} \cap N_{j_{k'}} = \emptyset$

Let  $j \in C$  and let  $k \in [n]$  be such  $j \in N_{j_k}^2$ . Then  $v_j^* \geq v_{j_k}^*$ .

Because  $j \in N_{j_k}^2$ , there is  $i \in N_{j_k} \cap N_j$ . Thus  $d_{ij} \leq \underbrace{d_{ij_k}}_{\leq v_{j_k}^*} + \underbrace{d_{j_k j}}_{\leq v_{j_k}^*} + \underbrace{d_{j j}}_{\leq v_j^*} \leq 3v_j^*$  (Lem 6.5)

$$\text{Thus } \sum_{i \in S} f_i + \sum_{j \in C} \min_{i \in S} d_{ij} \leq \sum_{i \in F} f_i y_i^* + \sum_{j \in C} 3v_j^* \leq 4Z^*. \quad \square$$

### Analysis of Algorithm D\* & R

$$E\left[\sum_{i \in S} f_i\right] = \sum_{k=1}^n E[f_{i_k}] = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i \in N_{j_k}} f_i x_{ij_k}^* \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i \in N_{j_k}} f_i y_i^* \leq \sum_{i \in F} f_i y_i^*$$

$$E[d_{i_k j_k}] = \sum_{i \in N_{j_k}} d_{ij_k}^* x_{ij_k}^* = \Delta_{j_k}$$

Let  $j \in C$  and  $k \in [n]$  such that  $j \in N_{j_k}^2$ . Again, for some  $i \in N_{j_k} \cap N_{j_k}^1$ :

$$E[d_{ij}] \leq \underbrace{E[d_{i_k j_k}]}_{=\Delta_{j_k}} + \underbrace{d_{i_k j_k}}_{\leq v_{j_k}^*} + \underbrace{d_{ij}}_{\leq v_j^*} \leq v_j^* + v_{j_k}^* + \Delta_{j_k} \leq 2v_j^* + \Delta_j \quad \uparrow \text{choice of } j_k$$

(Lem. 6.5)

$$\text{Hence: } E\left[\sum_{i \in S} f_i + \sum_{j \in C} \min_{i \in S} d_{ij}\right] \leq \sum_{i \in F} f_i y_i^* + \sum_{j \in C} 2v_j + \Delta_j \leq 3Z^* \quad \square$$