

Uncapacitated Facility Location

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dual LP: } \max \sum_{j \in C} v_j \\ \text{st. } v_j - w_{ij} \leq d_{ij} \quad \forall i \in F, j \in C \\ \sum_{j \in C} w_{ij} \leq f_i \quad \forall i \in F \\ w_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in F, j \in C \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 10.1 (v, w) computed by primal-dual algorithm is a feasible dual solution.

$$\text{Lemma 10.2 } \sum_{i \in \bar{S}} f_i + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j \in C} d(\bar{S}, j) \leq \sum_{j \in C} v_j$$

Proof: Let $\bar{C} := \bigcup_{i \in \bar{S}} N_i$.

Claim 1: $\sum_{i \in \bar{S}} f_i + \sum_{j \in \bar{C}} d(\bar{S}, j) \leq \sum_{j \in \bar{C}} v_j$

Proof:
$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \bar{S}} f_i &= \sum_{i \in \bar{S}} \sum_{j \in N_i} w_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \bar{S}} \sum_{j \in N_i} (v_j - d_{ij}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in \bar{S}} \sum_{j \in N_i} (v_j - d(\bar{S}, j)) = \sum_{j \in \bar{C}} v_j - d(\bar{S}, j) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

\uparrow
 $N_i \cap N_{i'} = \emptyset$

Claim 2: $d(\bar{S}, j) \leq 3v_j$ for every $j \in C \setminus \bar{C}$

Proof: Let $i \in \bar{S}$ be the facility that is responsible for j 's removal from C' .

Note that $v_j \geq d_{ij}$. If $i \in \bar{S}$ then $d(\bar{S}, j) \leq d_{ij} \leq v_j$. If $i \notin \bar{S}$ then there is $i' \in \bar{S}$ with $N_i \cap N_{i'} \neq \emptyset$. Let $j' \in N_i \cap N_{i'}$. By triangle inequality:

$$d_{ij} \leq \underbrace{d_{ij'}}_{< v_{j'}} + \underbrace{d_{i'j'}}_{< v_{j'}} + d_{ij} \leq 2v_{j'} + v_j$$

because $j' \in N_i \cap N_{i'}$

Because $j' \in N_{i'}$, client j' was removed from C' at latest when i' was added to S . Furthermore, j was not removed from C' before i was added to S .

$\Rightarrow v_j \geq v_{j'} \quad \square$

Claim 1 & 2 \Rightarrow Lemma \square

Theorem 10.3 Primal-dual is a 3-approximation for UFL.

The k-MEDIAN Problem

Lemma 10.4 $g(\lambda) \leq Z^* \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0$

Proof: Let (x^*, y^*) be the optimal solution to [P].

Then (x^*, y^*) is feasible solution to $[P(\lambda)]$ and $\lambda \left(\sum_{i \in F} y_i - k \right) \leq 0$. \square

Observation Let (v, w) be a feasible solution to $[D(\lambda)]$.

Then $\sum_{j \in C} v_j \leq g(\lambda) + k\lambda \leq \text{OPT} + k\lambda$.

Lemma 10.5 Primal-dual computes $S \subseteq F$ with $\sum_{j \in C} d(S, j) \leq 3(\text{OPT} + (k - |S|)\lambda)$.

Proof: Analysis of Primal-Dual $\Rightarrow 3 \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i \in S} f_i}_{=|S|\lambda} + \sum_{j \in C} d(S, j) \leq 3 \sum_{j \in C} v_j$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j \in C} d(S, j) \leq 3(\text{OPT} + k\lambda - |S|\lambda) \quad \square$$

Define $f(S) := \sum_{j \in C} d(S, j)$. Let $\alpha := \frac{k - |S_1|}{|S_1| - |S_2|}$.

Lemma 10.6 $\alpha|S_1| + (1 - \alpha)|S_2| = k$ and $\alpha f(S_1) + (1 - \alpha)f(S_2) \leq (3 + \varepsilon) \cdot \text{OPT}$

Proof:
$$\frac{(k - |S_2|)|S_1|}{|S_1| - |S_2|} + \frac{(|S_1| - |S_2| - k + |S_2|) \cdot |S_2|}{|S_1| - |S_2|} = \frac{k(|S_1| - |S_2|)}{|S_1| - |S_2|}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(S_1) &\leq 3(\text{OPT} + (k - |S_1|)\lambda_1) = 3(\text{OPT} + k\lambda_1 - |S_1|(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_2)) \\ &\leq 3(\text{OPT} + k\lambda_1 - |S_1|\lambda_2) + \underbrace{\varepsilon \cdot \text{OPT}}_{\leq \frac{\varepsilon \cdot \text{OPT}}{3|F|}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha f(S_1) + (1 - \alpha)f(S_2)$$

$$\leq 3 \left(\alpha(\text{OPT} + k\lambda_1 - |S_1|\lambda_2) + (1 - \alpha)(\text{OPT} + k\lambda_2 - |S_2|\lambda_2) \right) + \alpha \varepsilon \text{OPT}$$

$$\leq 3 \left(\text{OPT} + k \underbrace{(\alpha\lambda_1 + (1 - \alpha)\lambda_2)}_{\leq \lambda_2} - \underbrace{(\alpha|S_1| + (1 - \alpha)|S_2|)}_{=k} \lambda_2 \right) + \alpha \varepsilon \text{OPT}$$

$$\leq (3 + \varepsilon) \text{OPT} \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 10.7:

Case $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$: $|S_2| \leq k$ and $\frac{1}{2}f(S_2) \leq (1-\alpha)f(S_2) \leq (3+\varepsilon)\text{OPT}$

Case $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$: "nearest facility": For $i, i' \in F$ define $d_{ij} := \min \{d_{ij} + d_{i'j} : j \in C\}$.

Let $j \in C$. Let $i_1 \in S_1$ with $d_{i_1 j} = d(S_1, j)$ and $i_2 \in S_2$ with $d_{i_2 j} = d(S_2, j)$.

Either $i_1 \in \bar{S}$ or $i_1 \in X$ with probability $\frac{k - |\bar{S}|}{|S_1| - |\bar{S}|} \geq \frac{k - |S_2|}{|S_1| - |S_2|} = \alpha$.

Furthermore $d(\bar{S}, j) \leq d_{\bar{S}(i_1)j} \leq d_{i_2 j} + d_{i_2 \bar{S}(i_1)} \leq d_{i_2 j} + d_{i_1 i_2} \leq d_{i_2 j} + d_{i_1 j} + d_{i_2 j}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[d(\bar{S}, X, j)] &\leq \alpha d_{i_1 j} + (1-\alpha)(d_{i_1 j} + 2d_{i_2 j}) \leq 2(\alpha d(S_1, j) + (1-\alpha)d(S_2, j)) \\ &\stackrel{\alpha > \frac{1}{2}}{\leq} (6+2\varepsilon)\text{OPT} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark Construction of X can be derandomized.